

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/820,622	04/08/2004	Susan F. Radka	02-030-B (900.051)	8184	
20306 75	590 11/22/2006		EXAMINER		
MCDONNEL 300 S. WACKE	L BOEHNEN HULE	HUMPHREY, DA	HUMPHREY, DAVID HAROLD		
32ND FLOOR	AC DICEVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL	60606		1643		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/820,62	<u>?</u> 2	RADKA, SUSAN F.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	_	David Hur		1643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the management of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even riod will apply and wi atute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNI ent, however, may a fill expire SIX (6) MON lication to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	_							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo			ters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·		·	·			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application	ion		•				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
'=	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election rec	uirement.					
•	on Papers							
	•							
· -	The specification is objected to by the Exam			h., the Canadana				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		_	• •	DED 4 404(4)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
,	•	E L.Xamiller. INC		d Office Action of John F	10-132.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	•			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/820,622 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to an antibody that binds a nucleic acid molecule having a 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro Uridine nucleoside and/or nucleotide and a method of making a monoclonal antibody that binds a 2-deoxy-2'-fluoro Uridine nucleoside, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- II. Claims 15-17, drawn to a method for generating a monoclonal antibody that binds a short interfering nucleic acid (siNA), classified in class 435, subclass 70.21.
- III. Claims 18-21, drawn to a method of detecting a nucleic acid molecule having a 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro Uridine nucleotide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IV. Claims 22 and 23, drawn to a method for detecting the presence of siNA in a patient, classified in class 435, subclass 7.92.
- V. Claims 24-26, drawn to a method for screening candidate 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro Uridine modified siNA molecules for bioavailability in a mammal, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VI. Claims 27-29, drawn to a method for determining the level of a 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro modified siNA in a mammal, classified in class 435, subclass 7.93.

Art Unit: 1643

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions. Methods II-VI have different objectives, method steps and parameters, and utilize different reagents. For example, Invention II is drawn a method of making an antibody that binds to siNA. Invention III is drawn to method for detecting the presence of a nucleic acid in a patient which is not required for any of the other methods. Invention IV is drawn to a method of detecting the presence of siNA in patients. While the methods of Inventions IV and V require the administration of an antibody, the antibody targets are patentably distinct because an antibody that binds siNA in Invention IV would not necessarily bind any nucleic acid containing 2'deoxy-2'-fluoro Uridine in Invention V. The genus siNA includes nucleic acids that do not contain 2'deoxy-2'-fluoro Uridine modification. Similarly, the genus of nucleic acids with 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro Uridine modifications could also include nucleic acids that are not siNA. Therefore, while the searches may have some degree of overlap, they are not coextensive and as such are patentably distinct. Invention VI which is drawn to a method of determining the level of 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro modified siNA molecules in a mammal is patentably distinct from the methods of Inventions II-V because it contains an additional method step which requires assaying for the siNA molecule under conditions suitable to determine the level of the siNA molecule in the sample and/or mammal, which is not required or necessitated in Inventions II-V.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,622

Art Unit: 1643

Inventions I and III, I and IV, I and V, I and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibodies of Group I can not only be used in the methods of III, IV, V, or VI, but can also be administered to a subject to generate idiotypic antibodies, which is separate and distinct from the methods of III-VI.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,622

Art Unit: 1643

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Humphrey whose telephone number is (571) 272-5544. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/820,622

Art Unit: 1643

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

David Humphrey, Ph.D.

November 14, 2006